

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 33625/33627

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 688
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: October 28, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JAMES H. CRUMBLE,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Steven C. Verby, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and unified life sentence with twenty years determinate for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen years, and concurrent unified ten-year sentence with five years determinate for burglary, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Heather M. Carlson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

This is a consolidated appeal. In Docket No. 33627, James H. Crumble was convicted of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen years, Idaho Code section 18-1508. The district court imposed a unified life sentence with a twenty-year determinate term. In Docket No. 33625, Crumble was convicted of burglary, I.C. § 18-1401. The district court imposed a unified ten-year sentence with a five-year determinate term to run concurrently with the lewd conduct sentence. Crumble appeals, contending that the sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Crumble's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.